

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/067,980	GAZIT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	1636	

All Participants:

Status of Application: After a non-final

(1) Mark S. Cohen.

(3) _____.

(2) Quang Nguyen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2004

Time: 11:30

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted attorney Mark S. Cohen to propose amendments as they appear in the Examiner's amendment, so that the application is in conditions for allowance. Attorney Cohen accepted the proposed amendments.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)